IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE R.BASANT

MONDAY, THE 26TH MARCH 2007 / 5TH CHAITHRA 1929

Crl.MC.No. 917 of 2007

(C.R. NO. 56/2006 OF THE EXCISE RANGE OFFICE, AMARAVILA, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM).

.....

PETITIONERS/ACCUSED NO. 1 & 2:
----

1. **NAGAPPAN PILLAI, S/O. GOPALA PILLAI, SURESH BHAVAN, CHEMMANNUVILA, THACHAN DESOM, KUNNATHUKAL VILLAGE, NEYYATTINKARA TALUK, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM DISTRICT.**

2. **SURESHKUMAR, S/O. BHARGAVAN, MANGALATHUVILA HOUSE, ARAYOOR DESOM, CHENKAL VILLAGE, NEYYATTINKARA TALUK.**

BY ADV. SRI.C.C.THOMAS.

RESPONDENT/COMPLAINANT:

STATE OF KERALA, REPRESENTED BY EXCISE INSPECTOR, EXCISE RANGE OFFICE, AMARAVILA, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM, BY PUBLIC PROSECUTOR, HIGH COURT OF KERALA, ERNAKULAM.

BY PUBLIC PROSECUTOR SRI. S.U. NAZER.

THIS CRIMINAL MISC. CASE HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 26/03/2007,THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY PASSED THE FOLLOWING:

R.BASANT, J

Crl.M.C.No.917 of 2007

Dated this the 26th day of March, 2007

ORDER

The learned counsel for the petitioners prays, the learned Public Prosecutor does not oppose the said prayer and I am satisfied that in the light of the decision in **State of Kerala and Others v. Unni and another** [2007(1) KLJ (S.C) 97], this prosecution in which the only allegation is that the percentage of Ethyl Alcohol in toddy exceeds the permissible figure cannot be sustained. This Crl.M.C is, in these circumstances, allowed. All proceedings in pursuance of C.R. No.56 of 2006 of Excise Range Office, Amaravila, Thiruvananthapuram against the petitioners are hereby quashed.

(R.BASANT, JUDGE)

rtr/-